

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT		1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE 1 OF 2 PAGES
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0002	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 2 November 2008	Project SOW 0003 Work Order 202926 P-428 Explosive Ordnance Facility	
6. ISSUED BY COMMANDING OFFICER, NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND SOUTHEAST AJAX BUILDING 135 JACKSONVILLE, FL 32212 Code IPT(SC) (904) 542-6241 E-mail: nancy.darley@navy.mil		7. ADMINISTERED BY (if other than item 6) ROICC Beaufort Bldg 658 Moore St. Beaufort, SC 29904-9310 ATTN: Don Herschberger	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code)		X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. SOW #0003 WORK ORDER 202926
CODE			9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 2 December 2008
FACILITY CODE			10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS			
The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers is extended, XX is not extended.			
Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.			
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (if required)			
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS, IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.			
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.			
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).			
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:			
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)			
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not, is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.			
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) STATEMENT OF WORK #0003 WORK ORDER # 202926 P428 EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE FACILITY MCAS, BEAUFORT, SC 1. This Amendment is issued to answer RFI's.			
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.			
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICE (Type or print)	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	16C. DATE SIGNED
_____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)		BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)	

**STATEMENT OF WORK #0003 WORK ORDER # 202926
P428 EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE FACILITY
MCAS, BEAUFORT, SC**

**RFI Questions for P-428 EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE FACILITY
MCAS, BEAUFORT, SC**

Question #1:

Provide information as to STC rating requirements and noise reduction requirements for each of programmed facility spaces, taking into consideration the noise generated by the nearby airfield operations.

Answer #1:

Will not provide the STC rating. Designer will have to design to the noise info provided in the RFP.

Question #2

During the site visit introduction meeting, an EOD staffer expressed concern as to whether the P-428 facility was being designed in compliance with the OP-5 Volume One ordnance Facility blast resistance requirements. Please provide access to the OP-5 Volume One Documentation (or a copy thereof) and a confirmation of the distance outside of the blast arc to which the blast protection requirements apply. It was stated during the meeting that the distance for the applicable arc was 1250' plus 10%. Please confirm that this means 10% of 1250' is 125' and the total distance should be 1375'.

Answer #2

Design per the RFP and not the comment about additional requirements at the onsite.

Question #3

As the project site does not have access to sanitary sewers, please confirm what local, county or state agency has design review and permitting authority for septic systems at the project site. Has a percolation test been conducted and will Beaufort County Health Department establish disposal field criteria?

Answer #3

Septic system is required per the RFP. Successful contractor will have to perform a perk test and get all approvals.

Question #4

Please provide CADD files, in ACAD or Microstation format, of the drawings completed in March of 2008 by BES Incorporated, specifically "Tree and Topographic Survey" and Overall Site Plan". Receipt of these files will expedite site design in preparation for bid. Either a CD or a location from which to download these files will be acceptable.

Answer #4

Drawing provided under amendment 1.

Question #5

Please provide a copy of the foundation designs for Bldg 730, located adjacent to the project site, for reference.

Answer #5

Drawings provided in RFP no additional foundation drawings are available.

Question #6

Is sufficient conduit space available serving the nearby Communications manholes available to fish in new fiber optic and copper cables, or will additional conduit have to be installed back to the source, and if so what is the distance to the source/tie-in point which the conduit will have to follow?

Answer #6

See attached electrical survey drawings.

Question #7

The program requirements address a base bid and two (2) bid options. The RFP provides a bid form with (1) bid item only. Please respond.

Answer #7

Follow the RFP requirement.

Question #8

What type of overhead door do you require for Bldg 844. It was mentioned at the site visit that the overhead doors will need replacing but no direction given as to type (barn, coiling, sectional)? The program requirements only mention removing/replacing exterior skin of building.

Answer #8

Design per the RFP and not the comment about additional requirements at the onsite.

Question #9

There are a couple of places in the programming for Building 844 that tell us to provide new interior lighting (page 3 of Part 3) but other places that say exterior lighting (page 12 of Part 3). Please define electrical scope of work for Bldg. 844.

Answer #9

Provide only exterior lighting.

Question #10

Please provide clarification on the number of parking spaces required. Page 12 of Part 3 says to provide 60 parking spaces for the occupants and 3 handicap spaces. Additionally, provide visitor spaces equal to 2% of occupants plus 4 motorcycle spaces. Page 67, G2020 says to provide 60 spaces which includes 2 handicap and an additional 4 motorcycle. No mention of visitor spaces. Please clarify at number desired.

Answer #10

Follow page 12 of Part 3 of the RFP.

Question #11

The Chain link partition for Bldg 1023, does it need to go to the roof for security purposes or is it only for physical separation? Please advise on height. Do you need a chain link ceiling?

Answer #11

The chain link does need to go to the ceiling.

Question #12

With the holiday season upon us, would it be possible to have more time in order to allow us to provide both better design & pricing for the Navy?

Answer #12

No

Question #13

Some questions about the Space Tabulation on page 19 & 20: 1) There is a discrepancy between ORD Operations Bay Unit SF and Total Net SF.2) Should those headings be Unit Gross SF/Net SF? 3) It appears someone combined Gross SF with Net Unit SF. None of the numbers add up to the RFP square footage requirements. Please clarify.

Answer #13

Use the attached file for the tabulations sheets.

Question #14:

Does the Navy wish to allow shallow foundations and accept the small risk of liquefaction settlement during a large seismic event or not accept any liquefaction settlement during a large Seismic event requiring deep foundations?

Answer #14:

The Navy is not willing to accept the risk.

PDF Files for Tabulation Sheet and Electrical Site Survey will be attached via email, along with this amendment.