

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT		1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE 1 OF 3 PAGES	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0003	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 2 November 2008	Project SOW 0003 Work Order 202926 P-428 Explosive Ordnance Facility		5. PROJECT NO. (if applicable)
6. ISSUED BY COMMANDING OFFICER, NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND SOUTHEAST AJAX BUILDING 135 JACKSONVILLE, FL 32212 Code IPT(SC) (904) 542-6241 E-mail: nancy.darley@navy.mil		CODE	7. ADMINISTERED BY (if other than item 6) ROICC Beaufort Bldg 658 Moore St. Beaufort, SC 29904-9310 ATTN: Don Herschberger	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code)		X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. SOW #0003 WORK ORDER 202926	
			9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 9 December 2008	
CODE	FACILITY CODE		10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
<b>11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS</b>				
The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers XX is extended, is not extended.				
Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (if required)				
<b>13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS, IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.</b>				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
<b>E. IMPORTANT:</b> Contractor is not, is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) <b>STATEMENT OF WORK #0003 WORK ORDER # 202926 P428 EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE FACILITY MCAS, BEAUFORT, SC</b>  1. This Amendment is issued to answer RFI's.				
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICE (Type or print)		
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	16C. DATE SIGNED	
_____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)		BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		

**STATEMENT OF WORK #0003 WORK ORDER # 202926  
P428 EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE FACILITY  
MCAS, BEAUFORT, SC**

**Amendment 0003**

**RFI Questions for P-428 EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE FACILITY  
MCAS, BEAUFORT, SC**

**Question #1:**

Discussion at the site visit made reference to the need for both NIPR and SIPR telecommunications rooms at the new EOD/Ordnance facility. Please confirm that separate NIPR and SIPR rooms are required, and identify the location of this requirement in the RFP documentation.

**Answer #1:**

Follow the RFP only.

**Question #2**

Has a Dr Checks session been set up for this project to which we have access?

**Answer #2**

No.

**Question #3**

Based on the space tabulation sheet issued with Amendment 0002 we believe the allowable square footage of new construction for the EOD/ORD Building and the Controlled Storage Units (CSU's) is as summarized below. Is this Correct?

Total Allowable Gross Project SF: 17,492 SF

Less Building 844 Renovation SF: (3,226) SF

Allowable Total Gross SF for New EOD/ORD Bldg. & CSU's 14,266 SF

**Answer #3**

Follow RFP

**Question #4**

Confused over what FF&E items are to be included in our bid. The specs tell us to include FF&E design in our bid price. These are typically movable items. We know to include non movable items in our pricing. There is even a list of Government Furnished items at D50 on page 55, but this list says includes but may not be limited to. What are we to do about the safes required in the secure rooms, the tvs, shredders, microwave, workstations, stove/oven, dishwasher, projector screens, sound control center, speaker system, etc.? We are not planning on including these items in our pricing unless directed by amendment.

**Answer #4**

Follow the RFP.

**Question #5**

Although the RFP states that the project needs to meet the “certified” level of the USGBC’s LEED NC (v2.2) rating system, it is our understanding from the site tour that LEED Silver equivalency is required, and that the project will be reviewed internally by the Navy, and not submitted to the USGBC. Is this a correct understanding of the project’s LEED requirement?

**Answer #5**

Yes

**Question #6**

The Energy Policy Act of 2005 requires new construction and major renovations of federal buildings to reduce building energy consumption 40% below the baseline established in the ASHRAE 90.1-2004 standard. We did not see this requirement stated in the RFP, but are aware of the law. Is it required that the facility as designed consume 30% less energy than ASHRAE 90.1-2004 and is an energy model required as a submittal to fulfill this requirement? (an energy model will be completed to comply with LEED regardless, but we are trying to clarify the energy performance expectations)

**Answer #6**

Follow the RFP

**Question #7**

LEED NC Sustainable Sites (SS) credit 4.3 and 4.4 require setting aside 5% of “preferred” parking spaces (those closest to the door, beside the handicap spaces) for low-emitting/fuel efficient vehicles and for car/van-pools respectively. Can the design/build team set aside one or both of these parking requirements (3 spaces each, or a total of 6 spaces out of 60) in order to earn one or both of these LEED credit?

**Answer #7**

Yes

**Question #8**

Please provide a door height for the 18-ft wide roll-up doors in the EOD vehicle.

**Answer #8**

No

**Question #9**

It was stated in the meeting the new building would not need to meet the Beaufort Base Architectural Plan (BEAP); however in the Project Program, section 3, “Lighting” refers to the BEAP for Selection of the light poles. Can we require a copy of the BEAP?

**Answer #9**

As stated during the onsite: BEAP is not something that is provided by an RFP and contractors and can request for individual bases.

**Question #10**

Will the slab be demolished to building 961?

**Answer #10**

Yes

**Question #11**

Building 974 has been demolished and will not be part of proposal.

**Answer #11**

Yes

Proposal due date has been extended to December 22, 2008 2:30 pm (EST).